



L E S O T H O
T E L E C O M M U N I C A T I O N S
A U T H O R I T Y

Communications Sector Liberalisation Framework

January 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
1. Introduction	3
2. Objectives	4
3. The Communications Sector Liberalisation Framework	5
3.1. Levels of Competition	5
3.2. Universal Access	7
3.3. Fees	8
3.4. Resources	8
3.5. Market Structure	11
4. Summary of Aspects contained in the Framework	16
5. Implementation	17
Glossary	18
Annex 1	21
Annex 2	22

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document seeks to map out the future of the communications market in Lesotho following the expiry of the exclusivity period of Telecom Lesotho (TL) in February 2007. The post-exclusivity period marks an important landmark in the development and further liberalisation of the communications sector in Lesotho.

In the past six years following the initial liberalisation of the market, that is, privatisation of Lesotho Telecommunications Corporation (LTC) and establishment of Lesotho Telecommunications Authority (LTA), Lesotho realized a growth in telecommunications services where the mobile operators attained 13% coverage of the population while the fixed operator attained 2.9% coverage. Although this growth has been realized, certain challenges still remain given the rapid escalation of technological developments in the communications sector which have been embraced in many jurisdictions and are yet to be put in place in Lesotho.

The current regulatory framework is archaic given the dynamism of technology in the communications sector as a result of convergence of technologies. Lesotho cannot afford to lag behind and should be up to speed with developments in the information society. The document is structured in a manner that ensures adherence to best international practices and regional aspirations espoused in the NEPAD e-Africa Commission's recommendations on communications regulation while ensuring that Lesotho's peculiar circumstances are taken into account.

The document ushers in the era of full competition in the communications market by introducing competition in services that were within the exclusive domain of Telecom Lesotho as well as introducing new services resultant from convergence of technologies. This will enable infrastructure development for various services open for competition. The overall objective being to enable the consumer to obtain communications services at affordable prices while ensuring that the growth of the industry is realized. It also attempts to meet the aspirations of the Government as reflected in the 2005 ICT Policy on attainment of universal access for the Lesotho populace. An attempt has been made in the document to ensure that existing operators would not suffer prejudice resulting from further liberalisation and full competition. In fact, the document encourages the existing operators to diversify their operations and be innovative during this era of liberalisation. It is worth noting that Internet access remains one of the greatest challenges faced by Lesotho. The document has addressed this issue and it is hoped that the existing operators as well as new entrants will be in a position to deploy infrastructure to enable Basotho to obtain optimal benefits in this regard.

It is our fervent hope that the existing operators and the new entrants will take full advantage of the benefits which will accrue from this era of liberalisation by introducing and deploying technologies for their benefit as well as for the benefit of the consumer and the country as a whole.

1. Introduction

Liberalisation of the telecommunications market in Lesotho began when the Government of Lesotho (GOL) adopted “The Lesotho Telecommunications Policy of 1999”. The policy was crystallized and put into effect by enactment of the Lesotho Telecommunications Authority (LTA) Act of 2000, and repeal of the Lesotho Telecommunications Act of 1979.

The highlight of the liberalisation effort was the privatization of the fixed network operator, the Lesotho Telecommunications Corporation (LTC), and establishment of the regulator, the Lesotho Telecommunications Authority (LTA). The privatized incumbent, Telecom Lesotho (TL), was given exclusivity rights for a period of five years, expiring on the 8th February 2006. The exclusivity was subsequently extended by one year, shifting the expiry date to 8th February 2007. Exclusive rights are in respect of:

- Basic Voice Services within Lesotho and internationally;
- Basic Data Services within Lesotho and internationally;
- Leased Line Services within Lesotho only.

At the time of privatisation, Vodacom Lesotho (VCL) was the only mobile operator having been licensed in 1996. In October 2001, VCL was re-issued with a new licence as required by the LTA Act. A licence for a second mobile cellular operator was issued in October 2001, as part of the privatization transaction, to a subsidiary of TL trading as Econet Ezi~Cel Lesotho (EEL). EEL commenced operations in May 2002.

The market is therefore made of three network operators, with two (VCL and EEL) providing mobile voice services while the other (TL) provides fixed voice services, data services and network services.

A satellite earth station operator, Bethlehem Technologies Lesotho (BTL), was licensed in October 2001 to provide mainly bandwidth to Internet Service Providers (ISPs). A number of ISPs are also in operation and are obliged to source bandwidth from either BTL or TL.

Broadcasting services are provided by eight sound and two television broadcasters. The evident limitation in this front is the absence of broadcasting signal transmission service. This limitation has brought about a situation whereby content providers are self-provisioning in respect of transmission, and in the process media pluralism is only enjoyed in some select areas in the lowlands.

The Communications Sector Liberalisation Framework is aimed at introducing competition in areas that have been subject to TL's exclusivity so that the needs of consumers in this country can be met. Convergence of telecommunications, information technology and broadcasting on one dimension, and fixed and mobile on another, has been taken into consideration in the development of this framework.

2. Objectives

The liberalisation framework aims to achieve the following objectives:

- i. Introduction of competition in services that were previously provided by TL on exclusive basis;
- ii. To enable development of infrastructure capable of multiple services, including broadcasting transmission; and
- iii. To enable development of access networks capable of providing diverse services.

3. The Communications Sector Liberalisation Framework

The liberalisation framework provides for competition while fostering a specific market structure. Universal access shall always be kept in mind when either policy or regulatory settings change. Initial fees payable for participation in the provision of services that are currently provided on exclusive basis shall not be prejudicial to either the incumbent or new participants. There shall be a change in approach employed for resource management to accommodate new services and participants. In order to optimize value of investment by different operators, sharing of facilities is encouraged.

3.1. Levels of Competition

Full competition is ideal for any market that is being liberalised. However, it is quite complex to determine the nature of competition that will emerge from any liberalisation, and in this case post-exclusivity liberalisation. In this regard liberalisation would entail:

- i. removal of regulatory constraints that, either directly or indirectly, prevent participation in the provision of services that are currently provided by TL on exclusivity basis; and
- ii. setting up of a regulatory regime within which such services shall be provided on a competitive basis.

Competition can be either infrastructure or services based. Despite the complexities of predicting the nature of competition that will emerge from liberalisation, it is important that an attempt is made to foster the kind of competition that will not be divorced from Lesotho's realities and aspirations. Paramount of those aspirations being:

- need for investment on infrastructure;
- availability of access (and service at a later stage) to a highest percentage of citizenry;
- variety and affordability of services; and
- General consumer satisfaction.

Market liberalisation shall not reserve any level of the market for any particular provider, but collaboration and sharing of facilities in a transparent and equitable basis is encouraged. That is, infrastructure sharing is encouraged due to its efficiency and cost effectiveness. It is generally agreed that, had the mobile operators adopted a facilities sharing approach in their roll-out efforts, more coverage and access could have been attained with the similar amount of investment by the two companies. Sharing also tends to minimise the adverse impact of installations on the environment.

With this liberalisation, it is encouraged and expected that the existing network operators will compete more directly with each other at the services level. It is anticipated that this process will usher in a new era where technological challenges shall be the only limitation in the provision of services such as voice, data, Internet access and broadcasting.

The benefits of competition need not be over-emphasized, but amongst many the following have been reaped in other markets and are accordingly expected for Lesotho:

- reduced prices brought about by economically efficient tariffs;
- more efficiency to have reduced prices while making return on investment; and
- Deployment of the most appropriate technologies in providing services.

These telecommunications-specific benefits will in turn stimulate the entire economy by reducing the costs of doing business, thereby making Lesotho an attractive investment destination.

Full competition shall be allowed in all communication services. No quotas are to be put for market players for any service.

3.2. Universal Access

Universal access generally refers to the availability and affordability of basic services by the majority of the population. The most basic communication services in the context of Lesotho are voice telephony, followed by internet access.

Universal access is generally meant to achieve:

- Economic productivity and growth;
- The promotion of political and social cohesion through the integration of isolated communities into mainstream society; and
- Elimination of disparities between the “information rich” and the “information poor”.

While a large part of the population has the possibility of being connected by mobile operators, the mobile licensed services that can be permitted are limited by the very nature of these services. The effects of this limitation are most felt in areas where the only available access is from mobile operators.

This limitation should be addressed through liberalisation. In that manner network capabilities shall be the only limitation as regards services provided by mobile operators, meaning that there will be more products in the basket of services that are a subject of universal access.

3.3. Fees

In order to attain the levels of competition outlined in item 3.1 above, it is envisaged that there will be new participants in the provision of services that are currently provided by TL on exclusive basis. These participants may either be existing operators or new entrants. Fees to be payable, for such participation, shall be determined taking the following factors into consideration:

- Fees paid by TL for its licence, and noting that such fees included exclusivity rights;
- Such participation will add value to the business of the participant; and
- Competition will be attained through such participation.

In this way an attempt will be made to strike equity between the incumbent and new participants. Consequently, neither the incumbent nor new participants may be prejudiced by this liberalisation endeavour; at least not on account of fees.

3.4. Resources

Liberalisation has the effect of introducing a variety of services into the market. Some of these services require utilization of the numbering and spectrum

resources. Under the current dispensation, these resources were made available to existing operators in order to facilitate provision of services without strict efficiency requirements.

i. Spectrum

In order to accommodate new services, there is a need to introduce new regulatory measures in respect of spectrum use. These changes will achieve the objective of efficiency thereby allowing optimal utilisation of the resource.

At times, use of spectrum pricing policy has ensured efficient use of spectrum – both for transmission and access networks. However successes attained by use of spectrum pricing should not blind us to the fact that it may adversely affect the price of the end product provided to the customer, in which case it defeats the objective of affordability, and may tilt competition in favour of the more established and resourced operators against small operators or new entrants – hence stifling competition. This approach may also lead to too much resources being taken out of the sector in the form of spectrum fees. Therefore, it will be considered in conjunction with other measures. The aspect of spectrum hoarding could further cause distortions to the spectrum pricing policy and will be examined as well.

The regulator will adopt a policy of spectrum redeployment and rationalisation. This policy ensures efficient use of spectrum while not pricing it beyond affordability of some small players in the market. Under this policy spectrum pricing is not used to foster efficiency but only reflects the costs of regulation.

Spectrum assignments will be made strictly for the purposes of providing specific authorised services. In this way spectrum rights shall not be held for spectrum trading.

ii. Numbering

Under the current numbering plan, each of the three operators has been allocated an entire level of numbering resources. At the time of this allocation, there were no imminent risks of numbering limitations. However, with numbering needed for other new services, there is need to reconsider the current allocations. This will be done taking into account the following renowned principles:

- Numbers shall be allocated in blocks of modest size;
- Under-used numbering space shall be reclaimable; and
- Some spare numbering shall be left for the unforeseen.

Numbering change is not necessary at the moment. There is only a need for rationalisation, applying the above principles, in order to be in a position to deal with numbering requirements that are likely to be brought about by the envisaged liberalisation.

3.5. Market Structure

With the expiration of exclusivity rights granted to TL, comes a need to formulate a regulatory framework that shall determine the nature of liberalisation in respect of all market segments that will be open for competition. These market segments are:

- i. International gateway
- ii. Infrastructure – all network elements
- iii. Services – voice and data.

Liberalisation of these market segments is expected to have variegated impact on the realization of the objectives of this framework as set out under Item 2 above. However, it is critical that all efforts be made to ensure that liberalisation contributes to the maximum towards the attainment of these objectives.

i. International Gateway

Currently TL has exclusivity for provision of international gateway in respect of basic data and voice services. However, TL competes with BTL, albeit to a limited extent, for international gateway on Internet access.

All outbound and inbound international voice traffic generated by and destined to both VCL and EEL use the international gateway provided by TL. TL has recently, probably in anticipation of the end of exclusivity,

reduced rates for international calls. It is expected that competition will bring about reduction in prices while improving quality of service.

Liberalisation of international voice services may be attained in two stages as follows:

- 1) Authorization of the existing network operators to provide their own gateways;
- and
- 2) Authorize international gateway to any operator so requiring.

The existing mobile operators will then compete directly with TL on international voice services, and will compete with TL and BTL on international bandwidth sourcing for internet access. Retail prices of international calls might be brought down quite significantly. The only major risk is the possibility of mobile operators not passing on due benefits of reduced international traffic costs to customers and retaining such savings as normal profits. This matter should be addressed fully before any authorisations are made.

The importance of internet access in the modern day life, both private and business, and of its limited availability in Lesotho, renders it imperative to make special dispensation as regards internet access. Certain classes of ISPs shall be authorized to own infrastructure and compete with network operators on the sourcing of international bandwidth. That makes the international bandwidth sourcing market more liberalised than the provision of the international voice services.

ii. Infrastructure

The current regulatory dispensation on infrastructure is such that all operators are allowed to self-provision in all aspects of network elements. However, only TL is allowed to lease network elements and provide leased line services to end users. In a way, there is limited competition on infrastructure. Authorising mobile operators to lease network elements will remove all regulatory interventions limiting infrastructure competition, thus leaving it to market forces. It is expected but yet to be seen whether such development will result in reduction of leased lines rates.

Infrastructure liberalisation is encouraged because it brings about network innovation and creativity and has the potential to ignite investment competition. The most cost-efficient network has competitive advantage as it enables provision of services at attractive rates.

Traditionally, lack of competition at the backhaul networks produced very little product differentiation. However, with convergence, access networks define such differentiation. This means that while TL might continue to be the main backbone network service provider, a vigorous product based services competition is attainable.

Liberalisation carries with it the possibility of duplication of backhaul infrastructure.. This duplication should be considered positively as it provides redundancy that is essential for reliability. The new framework should allow investment in infrastructure where it is commercially viable, while allowing collaboration through sharing and leasing where necessary.

Given Lesotho's circumstances, there will be infrastructure competition to the extent that services competition dictates – which will largely be on innovations and technologies deployed for access networks. There should be efficiency driven collaboration in respect of backhaul networks with no exclusivities granted to any operator.

iii. Services

The services market is structured such that TL has the right to provide all telecommunications services with the exception of mobile voice services, which it does indirectly through its subsidiary. On the other hand, mobile network operators are restricted to the provision of mobile services, and connections to PABXs which is a fixed voice service; this being a historical right acquired by VCL under a licence issued in terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1979.

The exclusivity clause in TL's licence deals with data and voice services separately, but technological convergence makes such separation unnecessary. For the present purposes, data services should be provided by all voice service providers to the extent of their network capability. Technological convergence has brought about the ability to provide various communications services such as data, image, voice and video over single infrastructure (both transmission and access) and terminal equipment.

Advances in technology have dismantled the notion that different services **must** be obtained from different providers or provided using discrete networks. Regulatory acceptance of these changes is key to dealing with service provision challenges. It will also help attain higher levels of network

efficiency. These improvements should be more embraced in Lesotho where some areas are not reachable with the conventional fixed networks.

Liberalisation of voice and data services is expected to bring about competition in the market. An area that is expected to benefit from this is internet access services. While major operators will be at liberty to provide internet services, it is important for others to be given a fair opportunity to participate in the market. To achieve this objective ISPs shall be allowed to self-provision, both in terms of international bandwidth and in the last mile in line with an order made by the LTA Board on 16 August 2004¹. The approved classification is shown in Annex 1.

Options for effecting voice and data liberalisation are:

- 1) Allowing existing network operators to participate on an equal footing on voice and data services.;
- or
- 2) Licensing new operator(s) that will provide voice, data and other communication services.

These options will allow healthy competition whilst embracing convergence of technologies.

¹ Full document available on: http://www.lta.org.ls/Consultations/orders_and_resolutions.html

4. Summary of Aspects contained in the Framework

i. Competition

The telecommunications market in Lesotho shall be fully liberalised, allowing competition in all market segments. There shall be no quotas set for the number of participants at any service. It will be left to prospectors to determine the viability of the market before entering it.

ii. Fees

Operators shall pay initial once-off fees for the right to provide services that have been exclusive to TL. Initial once-off fees will be set in a way that does not prejudice either TL or new participants. A new fee structure is to be concluded.

iii. Resources

Resources (spectrum and numbering) will be rationalized in such a way that they support competition while prices to the consumers remain affordable. The spectrum and numbering rationalization exercise is being concluded by the Authority.

iv. International Gateway

All network operators and classes A and B of ISPs will be allowed to operate International Gateway.

v. Infrastructure

Network operators will be allowed to lease network elements to each other and to end users where possible. ISPs will be allowed to self-provision.

vi. Services

The voice and data services market segment shall be fully liberalised and there should be no distinction in terms of service provision between mobile and fixed services. Internet Protocol based solutions are embraced in the same spirit.

5. Implementation

A phased implementation of this framework is planned. This is essential to ensure that competition in hitherto exclusive services is allowed immediately when exclusivity ends, while the current regulatory framework is still in place.

Implementation of this framework will involve:

- a. Amendment of existing licences to allow licensees to provide services which are currently provided on exclusive basis.
- b. Determination of once-off fees payable for (a) above.
- c. Rationalisation of resources to meet new demands.
- d. Development of a converged/unified licensing regime.

Existing operators will have to apply for amendment of their licences if they wish to be authorised to provide any services that are subject to licensing/authorization, other than those provided for in their licences. The due process for licence amendment will be followed before any authorizations can be granted.

An implementation time-table is attached as “Annex 2”.

Glossary

Access networks

Refers to a part of a communications network which connects subscribers to their immediate service provider's core network.

Authorization

The granting of permission by the Authority for the provision of communication services or for the use of resources such as numbering and radio frequency spectrum.

Backbone

Refers to a set of facilities that provide paths that local or regional networks connect to for long-distance interconnection in a wide area network.

Broadcasting

The distribution of communication signals to a number of recipients belonging to a large group of people.

Convergence

Refers to the ability to provide a range of services over a single network, such as the so-called "triple play."

Exclusivity

Rights given to an entity to provide services exclusively in a market. Other operators are prohibited from directly competing in the same space.

Incumbent

Refers to the telecommunication enterprise that currently has exclusive rights in designated service, that is, Telecom Lesotho;

International bandwidth

It is also referred to as *International internet bandwidth*. It is network capacity on data links which cross international political borders. In this case, it is capacity linking Lesotho to other countries.

International gateway

Refers to international telecommunication services (see LTA ACT 2000).

Internet access

The means by which users connect to the Internet.

Internet

The worldwide, publicly accessible network of interconnected computer networks that transmit data by packet switching using the standard Internet Protocol (IP).

Liberalisation

Relaxation of restrictions in regulatory restrictions concerning the provision of communication services

Network Infrastructure

An integrated system of facilities which comprise the facilities used to provide one or more telecommunication services;

Network service provider

The equivalent to “public telecommunication services provider” which is defined in the ACT

National numbering Plan

This refers to the national implementation of the international E.164-numbering plan where a numbering plan specifies the format and structure of the numbers used within that plan. It typically consists of decimal digits segmented into groups in order to identify specific elements used for identification, routing and charging capabilities, e.g. to identify countries, national destinations, and subscribers.

A numbering plan does not include prefixes, suffixes, and additional information required to complete a call.

PABX

It is the acronym for Private Automatic Branch eXchange also called PBX or is a telephone exchange that is owned by a private business, as opposed to one owned by a telephone company

Services

That which customers buy from public telecommunications service providers.

Spectrum redeployment (or refarming)

Refers to a combination of administrative, financial and technical measures aimed at removing users or equipment of the existing frequency assignments either completely or partially from a particular frequency band. The frequency band may then be allocated to the same or different service(s). These measures may be implemented in short, medium or long time-scales.

Transmission

The transfer of information from one point to one or more other points by means of signals.

Note 1 - Transmission can be effected directly or indirectly, with or without intermediate storage.

Note 2 - The use of the English word "transmission" in the sense of "emission" in radiocommunication and of "sending" is deprecated.

Unified/converged licensing system

A system of authorising service provision whereby licences are designed to cover a wider scope of services that have traditionally been licensed separately.

Universal access

The ability of all people to have an opportunity in using basic communication services, regardless of their geographic location or disability or economic circumstances.

Annex 1

CLASSES OF ISPs AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD ON 16 AUGUST 2004

Class	
A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">i) International Internet Bandwidth Provider for the country (bandwidth acquired internationally).ii) Internet bandwidth provider to other ISPs and other re-sale service providers through own infrastructure (or through secondary facilities from other service providers).iii) Service provision via direct connectivity through own infrastructure (or through secondary facilities from other service providers) to own clients (other ISPs, domestic clients, corporate clients etc.)
B	<ul style="list-style-type: none">i) International Internet Bandwidth Provider for the country (bandwidth acquired internationally) and without own distribution infrastructure.iii) Service provision through secondary facilities from other service providers to own clients (ISPs, corporate clients etc.).
C	<ul style="list-style-type: none">i) Internet service provision via direct connectivity (or through secondary facilities from other service providers) to own clients (domestic and corporate clients etc.). Resells internet bandwidth acquired from Class A and Class B ISPs.

Annex 2

IMPLEMENTATION TIME-TABLE

- 1. From 9th February 2007**
Receipt of applications for international gateway from existing network operators.

- 2. From 1st June 2007**
Receipt of new applications from ISPs

- 3. From 1st June 2007**
Receipt of applications for all telecommunication services.